Applicant: _____

Application Number: _____

Reviewer: _____

FY 2008 Utah Sexual Exploitation of Children Prevention Grant (SECP) Scoring Sheet

Cover Page (10%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments
a. Are all fields within the cover page are complete?							2		
b. Does the budget summary of the cover page accurately reflects the Budget Tables & Narrative page in the application?									
c. Didi the applicant "Authorizing Official" and "Program Director" signed the cover page?									
Application Narrative (30%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments
a. Clearly describes the **problem** to be addressed?							6		
b. Will the project **strategy** clearly implement a viable education program designed to help prevent the sexual exploitation of children in Utah?									
c. Does the project have a **statewide** impact and will it serve children in the **10 - 16 year old** demographic?									
d. Does the applicant's project collaborate with at least one established SECP grantee (supported by MOU)?									
e. Does the application narrative include a **time-line?**									
Goals, Objectives, PM's & Evaluation (25%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments
a. Are the **goals** clear and reasonable?							5		
b. Are the **objectives** measurable within the time constraints of the grant?									
c. Are the **performance measures** clearly identified and appropriate for measuring success?									
d. Did the applicant provide a reasonable project **evaluation** that describes the data to be collected and the evaluation method to be used?									
Progress to Date (10%) (only for projects funded LY)	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments
a. Does progress to date support project effectiveness?							2		
b. Have Goal(s), Objective(s), & Performance Measures from last years grant been met?									
c. Did the applicant Include the number of children, parents, professionals, etc. served by their project?									
Budget Tables & Narrative (25%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	X	Total	Comments
a. Are costs reasonable for the program as outlined?							5		
c. Do the "Budget Tables" include computations that clearly identifiy the cost and quantity of each item requested?									
b. Does the "Budget Narrative" provide justification for expenditures outlined in the "Budget Tables"?									
							Final Score		

Scoring is based on a scale of zero to five, with 5 being the highest possible and 0 the lowest.
The highest score possible for any applicant is 100.

Five:	**Excellent**	**Responsive and well-executed**
Four:	**Above average**	**Exceeds minimum in some areas**
Three:	**Acceptable**	**Meets the minimum requirements of the RFP**
Two:	**Fair**	**Partially unresponsive in some areas**
One:	**Inadequate**	**Fails to meet perceived needs**
Zero:	**Non-responsive**	**Not addressed in the proposal**